OWENS CORNING SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CENTER PATENT DEPT., BLDG. 54 2790 COLUMBUS ROAD, ROUTE 16 GRANVILLE, OHIO 43023-1200



# FAX TRANSMITTAL

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER AUG 3 0 2004

g this page)
From: Jan Hostasa
Fax: (740) 321-8024
Phone: (740) 321-7168
9/975,006, filed October 10, 2001
ice Action for the above noted case is being mber, at the U.S. Patent and Trademark 30, 2004.
Jan Hostasa
(Name of Depositor)
Len Xprotona

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately and return the original message to us by mail. Thank you.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	)	
Aschenbeck et al.	)	
Serial No.: 09/975,006	)	Group Art Unit: 1772
Confirmation No. 8542	)	
Filed: October 10, 2001	)	Examiner: W. Watkins III
	)	
For: Roofing Materials Having Engineered C	coatings)	

#### RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On page 1, please insert the following paragraph:

### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present invention is a continuation-in-part application of U.S. patent application: Ser. No. 09/223,670, filed Dec. 30, 1998, now US Patent 6,426,309, issued July 20, 2002, entitled "Storm proof roofing material", commonly assigned, each naming Carla A. Miller as inventor, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

In response to the Office Action mailed April 30, 2004, please consider the following remarks. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

#### Miller et al

Claims 8-10, 53 and 55 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Miller et al. (WO 00/40794) in view of Vermilion et al. (U.S. 5,494,728).

Applicants have amended the instant application to reflect its status as a CIP